Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S H Dworetsky AT &T Corporation P O Box 4110 Middletown NJ 07748

COPY MAILED

JUN 2 4 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of °

Buchsbraum et al. Application No.09/643.580

Filed: August 22, 2000

Filed: August 22, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 1999-0131 >

Title of Invention: GRAPH ALGORITHM FOR

COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSIS

DECISION REFUSING STATUS

UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition filed May 29, 2003, under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a).

The petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply <u>may</u> include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on August 22, 2000, without a fully executed oath or declaration.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

The instant petition does not satisfy requirements (1).

As to item (1), Rule 47 applicant has failed to show that the non-signing inventor refused to sign the declaration after having been presented with the application papers. Rule 47 applicant indicates two e-mails were sent to inventor Giancarlo, which went unanswered. However, rule 47 applicant did not indicate a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) was presented to the non-signing inventor. Before a refusal can be alleged, applicant must demonstrate a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the non-signing inventor. See MPEP 409.03(d). If the application papers were sent to inventor Giancarlo a statement to that effect should be provided.

Thus on renewed petition, rule 47 applicant must establish the entire application package (including specification, claims and drawings) was presented to the non-signing inventor and he refused to sign.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(703) 872-9306

By delivery service:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20th Street S.

(FedEx, UPS, DHL, etc.)

Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 306-

Charlema R. Grant

Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions